



## SYSTEMATIC RISK MANAGEMENT **APPROACH FOR BUILDINGS**

Presented by: Ahmed A. Youssef, PhD

Supervised by: David N. Bristow, PhD



Civil Engineering

The Cities & Infrastructure Systems Lab for Resilience & Sustainability



#### Outline



#### **BIM: Building Information Modeling**



#### **BIM: Norms and Benefits**





#### **Current Practices: Challenges**



#### **Current Practices: Challenges**



8

#### **Current Practices: BIM Now**



#### **Risk and BIM: Better Understanding**



#### **BIM and Risk: Objectives**



#### Methodology: RiskLogik Framework



#### Methodology Overview: RiskLogik Framework



#### Methodology Overview: BIM and RiskLogik Integration



#### Methodology Overview: Value Added



### Case Study: Grade Risk Profile



## **Conclusion and Future Work**

#### Problem

- Backlogs of aging buildings.
- Changing hazards.
- Increasing risks and demands.
- Complexity of buildings.



#### Solution

- Integrated risk assessment practices.
- Integrated design provides a path forward.
- Scaling BIM with surrounding infrastructure.
- Improved data collection.





## Thank You

Ahmed A. Youssef, PhD Project Lead Engineer and Postdoctoral Fellow Email: aatef@uvic.ca



Civil Engineering



The Cities & Infrastructure Systems Lab for Resilience & Sustainability



# Backup Slides

### **Condition Assessment: Building Inspection**



#### Methodology Overview: BIM and RiskLogik Integration



## Workflow: RiskLogik and BIM

Data Collection

• Scanning and image processing

CAD Drawings

#### **Building Modeling**

Revit Models

#### Risk Assessment

- Operational
- Structural



#### Interdependency Analysis: Revit API





#### Interdependency Analysis: Revit Workflow



#### Interdependency Analysis: RiskLogik Workflow



#### Case Study: Almonte Power Plant

#### Testbed for development

Constructed using point cloud images and blue prints

Interdependencies are extracted to RiskLogik

#### Risk Scenario: Flood the basement

Results imported back to Revit for Visualization





## Case Study: Inputs



#### Case Study: Analysis Steps



#### Case Study: Cumulative Risk profile



#### Normal Operation



#### Flood Hazard

#### Case Study - 2: Inputs



#### Case Study - 2: Analysis Steps



#### Service – Condition Matrix: MEP System

	MEP element					
Condition	Remaining Service Life	Expected Service Level	MASL	Likelihood of Failure		
1	1000 hours	Up to 5 hours	Up to 3 hours	1		
2	700 hours	Up to 3 hours		3		
3	500 hours	Up to 2 hours		6		
4	300 hours	Up to 1 hours		7		
5	Less than 100 hours	Up to 15 min		10		

#### Service – Condition Matrix: Arch. & Struct. Systems

	Architecture and structural elements					
Condition	Remaining Service life	Expected Service Level from FEA	MASL	Likelihood of Failure		
1	50 years	Up to 30 hours	Up to 15 hours	1		
2	30 years	Up to 25 hours		3		
3	20 years	Up to 15 hours		6		
4	10 years	Up to 6 hours		7		
5	Less than 5 years	Less than 2 hours		10		